

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,050	11/19/2003	Rajiv K. Agarwal	06391 USA	7445
23543 AIR PRODUC	7590 12/29/200 TS AND CHEMICAL	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD ALLENTOWN, PA 181951501			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1742	
SHORTENED STATISTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY	V MODE
			DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		_ (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/717,050	AGARWAL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Scott Kastler	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	November 2006.						
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	_						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 7 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ·					

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Czech Patent 192 658 B-1 (CS'658) in view of WO'846. CS'658, in the English language translation provided by the applicant for example, teaches a process for the purification of a volatile metal hydride, (arsine) which contains a plurality of acidic impurities including a sulfur containing impurity (hydrogen sulfide, see page 4 of the translation for example) which is purified by a process including contacting the arsine feed with an alkaline metal hydroxide (see claim 1 of CS'658 for example) particularly sodium hydroxide (see page 5 of the translation for example) thereby showing all aspects of the above claims except the use of an additional step of contacting the resulting purified product with an adsorbent material to further purify the product. WO'846 teaches that in order to produce a cleaner more pure product it was known at the time the invention was made to further purify volatile metal hydrides, arsine in particular, by contacting the hydride with an adsorbent made of activated alumina in order to further remove sulfur impurities. Motivation to additionally purify the resulting arsine product of CS'658 with an activated alumina adsorbent as taught by WO'846, where a more purified product is desired would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The above claims contain subject matter allowable over the instantly cited prior art at least because none of the cited prior art shows or fairly suggests either the processing of the arsine with the alkaline compound at a sub-ambient temperature (CS'658 teaches preparation at temperatures of between 100 and 600 degrees C) or the use of an alkaline material in an aqueous solution (again CS'658 employs a solid alkaline material).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 11/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that there is no motivation to combine the teaching of Czech'658 with that of WO'846 because Czech'658 does not desire further processing, and desires a less complex process is not persuasive. In response to this argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, as stated in the above rejection, motivation to additionally purify the resulting arsine product of CS'658 with an activated alumina adsorbent as

taught by WO'846, where a more purified product is desired would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742